IN	THE	SUPREME	COURT	OF	THE.	STATE	OF	ALASKA

Dn

Donald McDonald (Appellant) v.))	FILED FEB 1 6 2022 APPELLATE COURTS OF THE STATE OF ALASKA	APPELLATE COURTS STATE OF ALASKA
State of Alaska, Department of)	Supreme Court No.	S-18113
Corrections. Alaska Parole Board,)		2
and Nancy Dahlstrom)		
(appellees))		
Trial Court Case No 3KN-20-00604	CI		

REQUEST FOR SCHEDULING / MOTION CLARIFICATION

Appellant McDonald, in light of recent notifications from the Supreme Court, asks for clarification of his obligations to the Supreme Court schedule and the status/non-status of Appellant's recent Notice of Routine Extension.

On 11-10-21, the Supreme Court informed Appellees that Appellant's opening Brief was accepted and Appellee's opening Brief was due by 11-30-21. On 11-20-21, Appellees submitted a Notice of ROutine Extension, modifying the Brief due date to 12-30-21. On 12-30-21, Appellee's filed a Motion for Non-Routine Extension. The Supreme Court granted the Non-Routine Extension, amending the Brief due date to 1-31-22.

Appellant McDonald received a copy of Appellee's opening Brief dated11=30=22. Appellant then received a Reply Brief Notice on 1-31-22, indicating the Reply Brief was due 2-23-22.

On 2-8-22, Appellant filed a Notice of Routine Extension due to the COVID restrictions at Wildwood Correctional Center. Also on 2-8-22, this Supreme Court issued a Notice Printing of Briefs to Appellees indicating "The Statement of Facts contains information that is unsupported by the record. Please amend the Brief with Appellate Rule 212(c)(1)(G) - any unsupported information should be removed."

The due date for Appellee's correction is now 2-22-22.

Appellant requests clarification.

(1)

Upon receipt of Appellee's amended/corrected opening Brief, will this Supreme Courtrissue a revised Reply Brief Notice?

(2)

Appellant, absent a Routine Extension, has a due date for the Reply Brief of 2-23-22. Given that as of this date, Appellant has not received an amended Appellee opening Brief, is the 2-23-22 due date void?

(3)

Is Appellant's Notice of Routine Extension now moot? Does Appellant retain the right to re-apply for a Notice of Routine Extension once an amended Appellee opeing Brief and/or an additional Reply Brief Notice is received?

Respectfully submitted to the Supreme COurt on the day of FEB., 2022.

Donald McDonald (Appellant)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

Donald McDonald)		
(Appellant))		
v.)		
State of Alaska. Department of)	Supreme Court No.	S-18113
Corrections, Alaska Parole Board,)		
and Nancy Dahlstrom)		
(Appellees))		
Trial Court Case No. 3KN-20-00604	CI		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the day of 3, 2022, true and correct copies of Appellant's REQUEST FOR SCHEDULING/MOTION CLARIFICATION and this Certificate of Service were sent via U.S.P.S. mail to: A.A.G. Anna L. Marquez

Department of Law - Civil Division 1031 West 4th Avenue, Suite 200

Anchorage, AK, 99501

Donald McDonald

Wildwood Correctional Center

10 Chugach Avenue Kenai, AK, 99611